MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 24, 2009

TO: Ingredient Definitions Committee
    Other Interested Parties

RE: Report on August 2, 2009 IDC Meeting

The Ingredient Definitions Committee (IDC) met Sunday, August 2, 2009, from 3:30 PM to 5:00 PM, at the Hyatt Regency in Washington, DC. The meeting was well-attended, and 100 people signed-in.

The purpose of this document is to summarize the activities of the meeting. The following items were submitted for consideration:

Definitions to be moved from Tentative to Official

1) T12.5 Quinoa Seed [ACCEPTED as amended]
   It was suggested that quinoa is not part of the barley family, and a more appropriate home in the Official Publication should be found. So, rather than move it to official status in Barley Products, the motion was to move this ingredient to tentative status in Miscellaneous Products.

2) T36.15 Dried Fermentation Biomass [ACCEPTED]
3) T60.106 Hydrolyzed Roughage [ACCEPTED]
4) T87.24 Hide Glue (Technical Gelatin) [TABLED]
   Questions were raised on the amount of chromium that might be contained in this ingredient. Dennis McCurdy volunteered to research the file, and advise the Investigator prior to the mid-year meeting. It was noted that this ingredient is not listed in the index, and that that issue should be addressed in the 2010 Official Publication.

7) T96.11 Yeast Extract [ACCEPTED]
8) T96.12 Hydrolyzed Yeast [ACCEPTED]
Editorial Changes/ Modifications to Existing Definitions

9) 22.5 Processed Grain By-Products Collective Terms [ACCEPTED]
10) 84.7 Soybean Meal, Dehulled, Solvent Extracted [TABLED]
11) 84.60 Soybean Meal, Mechanical Extracted [TABLED]
12) 84.61 Soybean Meal, Solvent Extracted [TABLED]

Although it was agreed that the proposal did not change the nature of the ingredient, several concerns were raised on the changes to these three soybean meal definitions. The principal question had to do with potential conflict between a change in the definition and ingredient labeling requirements in the Code of Federal Regulations, and secondarily that the wording as proposed might not be ideal. The oilseed processors wanted to have some time to talk to their members to see how they would impact that group. The Investigator agreed to table the proposal for potential follow-up in January, pending research between now and then.

New Definitions

13) T71.300 Camelina Meal, Extracted [ACCEPTED]
A question was asked about what will happen to the 2% inclusion rate allowed in cattle feed by an earlier letter from CVM, once this definition goes into place. The Montana Department of Agriculture (MDA) wanted to let everyone know that further research was underway to support the expansion of this definition beyond what has been proposed at this meeting (used in the diets of broiler chickens at an inclusion rate of no more than 10% of the diet), and that MDA supported the idea of using Camelina meal as a feed ingredient for beef cattle and swine at rates higher than 2%. CVM plans to continue to allow Camelina meal to be used as a feed ingredient in feed lot beef cattle and growing swine at 2% of the final ration until use at a higher inclusion rate is recognized.

Discussion Items

14) 87.19 Urea Formaldehyde Condensation Polymer
CVM wanted to make the committee and attendees aware that the definition as published is still in effect, but no deviation from the definition is allowed. This ingredient is not for use in aquatic feeds. Further, CVM will be taking another look at the data supporting this definition.

Richard Ten Eyck of the Oregon Department of Agriculture will be assuming duties of the Ingredient Definitions Committee chair, and Aaron Elam of the Oklahoma Department of Agriculture will be assuming the role of vice-chair. I will be helping them ease into this new responsibility. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact me.