## ISO 17025:2005 on Reporting:

Section 5.10 with guest appearances from 4.4, 4.5, 4.7 & 4.8

Jason S. Kong Ohio Department of Agriculture Reynoldsburg, OH

2015 AAFCO Midyear Meeting January 11 - 15, 2015



#### What does the standard say?

**5.10.1 provides basic guidance for reporting values :** 

- Results of each test ... reported accurately, clearly, unambiguously and objectively, and in accordance with any specific instructions in the test or calibration methods.
- "

... shall include all the information requested by the customer and necessary for the interpretation of the test or calibration results ...

"

... for internal customers ... or ... a written agreement with the customer ... the results may be reported in a simplified way.



#### 5.10.1 - Your Customer

Use your customer agreement to make the report as simple as possible.

- Information is still necessary, but not required for reporting.
- "

Section 4.4 should clarify reporting beforehand

Measurement Uncertainty?

Reports with subcontractors?



"

#### Sample Report Top

			FEED SAMPLI	E ANALYSIS R	EPORT	12/1 Samp 1/30	t Printed: 8/2014 ble Date: 0/2014 atory ID:
Report ID: 401326     Product Name:							
Inspector ID:			PIO	uut name.		· /	
Analyte	Minimum Guarantee	Maximum Guarantee	Other Guarantee	Amount Found	Method	Result	ents
Crude Protein			11.4 %	12.3 %	AAFCO 002.01		
Crude Fat			3.26 %	2.9 %	AAFCO 003.13		
Calcium			0.65 %	0.73 %	AAFCO 019.43		
Crude Fiber			2.67 %	2.5 %	AAFCO 004.07		
Phosphorus			0.54 %	0.60 %	AAFCO 031.43		
Selenium			0.29 ppm	0.40 ppm	AAFCO 034.53		
	1		1	1	11		



#### **Sample Report Bottom**





Title Name, Address of Lab " **Test Report Unique ID** " Reporting "completeness" " **Customer name, address** " Method used Sample condition



" Date of receipt of sample " Date of test performed " **Reference to sampling** plan " **Results** " Authorizing Identification " And more!

- e) Methods require identification of revision date.
  - "When the date is not identified in the scope of accreditation, laboratories are expected to be competent in the use of the current version within one year of the date of publication of the standard...method." – R101 from A2LA
- f) Descriptions of items can be a simple "acceptable" condition unless required to go into detail per 5.10.5
   Opinions and Interpretations.



- j) Electronic / mechanized signatures acceptable if protected from unauthorized use and is identifiable. The signature can be anything, as long as it is traceable!
  - Social Security Number? (555-31-7834?)
  - Random Configuration of Values? (EXKSFI205?)
  - Code Words? (Red Falcon)
  - **YES!!!**



# k) Where relevant, a statement to the effect that the results relate only to the items tested or calibrated."

Program: FEED		Project: Biological Co	Function: IMPORT		
Sample Plan:       2014_15D - Monitoring Inspection - Imported Corn       Sample Priority: Regular         Sampling Method:       Stream sample       Inspector Sample No.:       14-4-10-'					
Sampling Type: Country - Origin:	Primary Manufacturer, Produc UNITED STATES	er	Common Name: Product Regist. Code:	YELLOW CORN 99INGRP	
Lot: Unit Volume/Weight Container Type:	RAIL CAR: 1 ton_met no packaging/bulk		Total Weight (kg): Units on Hand: Physical Form: Invoice or Product ID: Bill of Lading:	93 TONNE 93 other RAIL CAR: APR 4/14	
Aflatoxin B Aflatoxin B Aflatoxin G Aflatoxin G Aflatoxin G	32 Result 31 Resultat 32 Resultat oxins Result 1 Result ne Result Jt	<1.0 ug/kg <1.0 ug/kg <1.0 ug/kg <1.0 ug/kg <5.0 ug/kg <10 ug/kg <100 ug/kg <15 ug/kg <10 ug/kg	MULTITOX8		
Date Authorized: 2014-05-28 Authorized By: FRED ARMSTRONG					
These results relate only to the sample as tested by this laboratory. *** END OF REPORT ***					

## 5.10.3 – "where necessary"

" Method-specific requirements " 5.10.3.2 Sampling Methods " **Qualitative / Interpretive Results** " LOD / LOQ Reporting " **Program-specific requirements** " **Pesticide Data Program** " **Ohio Racing Commission** 



## 5.10.4 – Does This Apply?

#### "

- YES! Reporting is not just for outgoing reports from you, but for reports coming in to you, especially calibration reports!
  - Protecting your ISO 17025 Accreditation by scrutinizing calibration reports performed for you
  - ODA's experience with calibration reports for positive displacement pipette calibrations





#### CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE Report Number: 1704458-1152014-15:00:00



#### Serial # 1704458

Data type: As Found SOP followed: Level 6 - SOP125-6 Calibration date: 05-Nov-2014

Status: Passed Work order # BJ14110501

0.40004

.

400 0500

	rage I OF I							
Instrument Information	Environmental Factors	Test Criteria						
Serial # 1704458 Device ID: 1704458	Temperature: 75.84 °F	Test plan: CTL 100 ul 2 volume						
Mfg, Type, and Size: Eppendorf Reference 100,variable,100ul,1ch Customer: Contact: Department: Address:	Barometric pressure: 30.22 inHg Relative humidity: 32.22 % Liquid density: 0.9972 Z Factor: 1.0034188 Air density: 0.0011987 Cubic expansion: 0.0001000	Method: Level 6 - SOP125-6 4 AF 4 AL						
Measurement & Test Equipment								
Name	Serial Number	Next Calibration Due						
Balance - 23207324 - Sartorius - CP225D	23207324	31-Jan-2015						
Hygrometer - 122497029 - Control Company	122497029	28-Feb-2015						
Weight Set - 1DH6 - Rice Lake	1DH6	30-Apr-2015						
Parts, Repairs, & Restrictions		Notes						
Adjust Calibration Battery Grease ISO 17025 O-ring Piston Routine Maint. Seal	cGLP       cGMP         ISO 9001       Non-Routin         Preventive Maint.       Repair         Shaft       Tension Rin							
Statistics & Sample Data								
· · ·	Process Uncert. Within k=2 recision % Uncert. Guardband 95 %	Sample Sample Weight Volume						
	% Actual % (+/-µl) Tol. (+/-µl) confidence							
1 25.00 0.75 25.16 3.00 0.65 3.00	0 0.13 0.03 0.72 Yes	Pass a pass						
		1 0.02505 25.13564 2 0.02510 25.18581						
		3 0.02510 25.18581						
		4 0.02505 25.13564						
1 100.00 3.00 100.49 3.00 0.49 3.00	0 0.11 0.11 2.89 Yes	Pass						



#### 5.10.5 Opinions & Interpretations

Not to be confused with tests requiring qualitative RESULTS (e.g. feed microscopy / filth)

Opinions and Interpretations are strictly controlled if they are included in the report itself.

Opinions and interpretations may be given informally through e-mail, non-reporting letters, and according to NOTE 3, should be documented.



#### 5.10.5 Opinions & Interpretations

"

In order for a report with opinions and interpretations to be included in an accredited report, the manner of how opinions and interpretations are given must be contained in a written procedure also within the lab's scope of accreditation.



#### 5.10.6 - Subcontractors

#### Customer --> Laboratory --> Subcontracting Lab

Results reported by subcontracting laboratories shall be recorded in writing or electronically by the laboratory

#### "

Must be clearly identified for the customer to see



#### 5.10.7 – Electronic Reports

- Should meet requirements of 5.4.7 Control of Data
   Reporting can be simplified according to a contract, memorandum of understanding, or similar document,
   (a g automatic database dumping into according to alectropic
  - (e.g. automatic database dumping into agency's electronic database)



#### 5.10.8 – Reporting Formats

- NOTE 1 Attention should be given to the lay-out of the test report or calibration certificate, especially with regard to the presentation of the test or calibration data and ease of assimilation by the reader.
- NOTE 2 The headings should be standardized as far as possible.



#### 5.10.8 – Reporting Formats





#### 5.10.9 – Amendments

 Differentiating between an amendment (also a reissue) and a new report
 Typos? Mistakes? Complaints?

Request from customer to retest a sample?

Reissuing a Report?



#### 5.10.9 – Reissuing a Report

Re-issue of Report ID: 413718						Report Printed: 12/18/2014 Sample Date: 8/6/2014 Laboratory ID:
Inspector ID: In	Inspector ID: Inspector Name. Product Name:					
Analyte	Minimum Guarantee	Maximum Guarantee	Other Guarantee	Amount Found	Method	Result Comments
Cadmium				0.20 ppm	AAFCO 518.52	
Calcium	33 %	38 %		34.2 %	AAFCO 019.42	
Cobalt				6.13 ppm	AAFCO 025.52	
Lead				1.37 ppm	AAFCO 526.52	
Mercury				< 20 ppb	AAFCO 529.99	
Molybdenum				0.68 ppm	AAFCO 038.52	
Monensin			8000 g/ton	7910 g/ton	AAFCO 065.99	
Selenium				1.42 ppm	AAFCO 034.52	



#### 4.7.2 - Feedback

"

Use your customer review to provide feedback on reporting and how it can be improved.

"

Example – Annual Customer Review from internal customers provide opportunities to improve reporting methods, especially when issues have been documented in the past.



Procedures shall be established to prevent the production of unauthorized reports and other documents.



### **AAFCO Additional Guidance**

4.8 Requests for Splits and Referee Laboratories
 This section can be clarified in reporting, re-issuing reports and such if properly documented in contracts and/or MOUs.



"

Logos of "A2LA Accredited," "ILAC MRA" or equivalent are not required except for calibration laboratories. Logos have a policy for use; consult your accrediting body for details.

For A2LA, consult document
 R105 – Requirements When
 Making Reference to A2LA
 Accredited Status







# What if the reporting scheme is: Laboratory -> Internal Customer -> Customer?

My laboratory is internal to a larger, manufacturing organization. Test results are communicated from the laboratory via an intranet to another department of the organization which prepares and issues the final test reports, but they may or may not contain all of the elements of Section 5.10.2 through 5.10.4. Can a deficiency be cited against our laboratory for non-compliance with these clauses of ISO/IEC 17025?



In the case of captive or internal laboratories, the "customer" is most often considered to be another department within the larger, overall organization. As long as there is a documented understanding from that other department within the organization as to the format that the final report issued by the captive laboratory will take, then the laboratory is free to issue a simplified report under the provisions of Section 5.10.1. According to these provisions, the laboratory may issue a report to their "customer" within the organization such that not all elements of 5.10.2 through 5.10.4 need be included, as long as the results are reported accurately, clearly, unambiguously and objectively. However, the lab itself must maintain records to satisfy the elements of 5.10.2 through 5.10.4 in the event that information is ever needed.

Now, if the other department within the organization wishes to distribute the captive laboratory's results to someone outside the organization, they may do so. The results are only considered "accredited", however, if the laboratory's actual report is given to this outside party. In other words, the other department within the organization may not repackage, manipulate or re-present the laboratory's data in another form before it is distributed to this external party and still have it considered "accredited" or have it display the "A2LA Accredited" symbol (or reference to A2LA accreditation). If the other department repackages, manipulates or re-presents the data and still makes reference to A2LA accreditation in its issued report, we would consider this department of the organization (not the laboratory itself) to be issuing a fraudulent report, and would take necessary action.



Basically, your original report is the accredited report and may have the accreditation logo.

Any modification or repackaging of the report by the internal customer negates the ability to attach the accreditation

OgOration in its issued report, we would consider this department of the organization (not the laboratory itself) to be issuing a fraudulent report, and would take necessary action.

