# LMSC---Best Practice Workgroup

Phosphorus

2016 Mid-Year AAFCO Laboratory Methods

& Services Committee

#### History/Timeline

- □ Tackled & completed "Fat"
- ■Next Topic "Phosphorus"
- Mission:
  - □Create documents to assess methods used & to recommend methods appropriate for feed matrices
  - □Science based recommendations
- □ Survey
  - □Compiled based on methodology
  - Matrix & phosphorus level dependent
  - □AAFCO codes included for ease of use

#### Survey Results

- 20 laboratories responded
  - □10 government
  - □9 state & 1 federal
  - □9 industry & private
  - □1 anonymous (submitted via AAFCO)
- □ High level P samples seem to be more problematic
- ■Wide variety of methods or combination of methods in use
- □ About half of labs responding employ dry ashing, mainly on feed materials

#### Survey Results, Cont'd

- ■Mineral mixes generally undergo acid digestion
- □48% quantitate P via ICP
- □34% utilize a colorimetric method
- □17% use a gravimetric method

#### Comments about Challenges

- Variability
- □ Liquid Feed w/High Sugar
  - □ Foaming during dry ashing, cloudy solution
- □ Colorimetric: None
- □ ICP: Spectral Line Overlap
  - ☐ High Cu may cause interference
  - ☐ High Ni can interfere w/Gallium internal standard
  - □Wavelength works is 213.619
  - 171 & 178 drift higher over time

# Comments about Challenges, Cont'd

- □ Very high levels must be diluted quite a bit
  - ☐Adds a step & possible point of error
  - □ Lab uses flow analyzer
- □ Very few problems with ICP & included lengthy paragraph on their QC which is good
- □6 Labs
  - None or did not answer

#### **Survey Conclusions**

- □High level P samples seem to be more problematic
- Wide variety of methods or combination of methods in use
- □ About half of labs responding employ dry ashing, mainly on feed materials
- ■Mineral mixes generally undergo acid digestion
- □48% quantitate P via ICP
- □34% utilize a colorimetric method
- □17% use a gravimetric method

## Next Steps

□ Phosphorus Matrix Developed

Method Reference   AOAC 955.17   AOAC 952.02   AOAC 953.01   AOAC 955.001   AOA	Method Code	31,01	31.02	31.03	31,04	31,06	31.07	31,08	31,41	31,42	31,43	31.44	31,51	31,52	31.53	31,99	-		_						-	
Properties   Pro	Method Deference			31.03		31.06	31.01	31.00	31.41	31.42	31.43		31.31	31.52	31.33	31.00	100 3646-1989	190 6491-1998	100 10540	100 10540.	100 10540.	190 07085-0009	010C-CAPC 021	4 4 CC 40-56 01	4 A C C 40-57 01	AACC 40-58 01
Mark-Decloping PAS 555- Assaulte Springer Bulk Made PAS 555- Assaulte Springer Bulk M	mediod reference											HONO GOS.GI					Spectrophotome									
Marie   Mari			935.13 -	Autoanalyzer		Hach Method	DCP	PreWet .	ICP, Dry Ash	ICP, Open Vessel	ICP, Microwave	ICP-Dry Ash	ICP-MS, Dry Ash		ICP-MS, Microwave	Miscellaneous	derived	(animal feeding	(animal &	AA (animal &	ICP-OES (animal & regetable oils)	ICP-AES (animal feeding stuffs)	Spectromeric	Colometric (yeast)	Gravimetric (feed & feed stuffs)	Volumetric (feed & feedstuffs)
Selection Select	Matrix																									
Mathematical Mat	Cottonseed																									
Field Bright         1 <t< td=""><td>Distillers Grain, Dry</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Distillers Grain, Dry																									
Mark	Distillers Grain, Wet																									
See	Feed, Dry																									
This play be	Feed, Premix																									
Column angalogolage	Super Phosphate																									
Probability																										
Probability	Calcium metaphosphate																									
Supplication   Supp	phosphate																									
Protein inferent concentre   Control   Contr																										
Position interval concentry   Posi	Medicated laying ration																									
Visual natural replaced   Visual   Vi	Pig finisher																									
Moconsoler phosphote	Protein mineral concentrate																									
Section   Sect	Vitamin mineral supplement																									
Micro Mineral Micro Micro Mineral Micro Micro Mineral Micro Micr	Monoammonium phosphate																									
Constate	Diammonium phosphate																									
Minic phophate Minic	Mixed ruminant feed																									
Notice   Page	Livestock Mineral																									
Sodian metaphosphase    Second   Second	Mineral Mix																									
Affa loses  Affa l	Nitric phosphate																									
Citrus feaves  Checked Severe  Control feaves	Sodium metaphosphate																									
Order   Orde	Alfafa leaves																									
Pies seedles	Citrus leaves																									
Tomato leaves  Con leaves  Con leaves  Con state Pack leaves  Con State Con	Orchard leaves																									
Con leves	Pine needles																									
Constalk         Image: Constalk of the consta	Tomato leaves																									
Peach lower Peach	Corn leaves																									
	Corn stalk																									
Burnada grass	Peach leaves																									
	Burmuda grass																									

### Next Steps

- □ Phosphorus Matrix Developed
  - □ AAFCO Codes Used in CSP
  - Matrices & P levels found from original JAOC papers

А	AD	AE	AF	AG	АН	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	AZ	ВА	ВВ	ВС	BD	BE	BF	BG	ВН	ВІ
Method Code	Cottonseed	Distillers Grain, Dry	Distillers Grain, Wet	Feed, Dry	Feed, Premix	Super Phosphate	Triple Super Phosphate	Calcium Metaphosphate	Potassium Dihydrogen Phosphate	Laying Ration	Medicated Laying Ration	Pig Finisher	Protein Mineral Concentrate	Vitamin Mineral Concentrate	Monocalcium Phosphate	Diammonium Phosphate	Mixed Ruminant Feed	Livestock Mineral	Wineral Mix	Nitric Phosphate	Sodium Metaphosphate	Alfalfa Leaves	Citrus Leaves	Orchard Leaves	Pine Needles	Tomato Leaves	Corn Leaves	Corn Stalk	Peach Leaves	Burmuda Grass		
31.00 - AOAC 964.06																												Ь				<u>—</u>
31.01 - AOAC 965.17																																<u> </u>
31.02 - AOAC 962.02																																<u> </u>
31.03																																<u></u>
31.04 - AOAC 953.01																																_
31.06																																_
31.07																																_
31.08																																_
31.41																																Ш
31.42																																
31.43																																
31.44-AOAC 985.01																																
31.51																																
31.52																																
31.53																																Π
ISO 6491:1998																																<u> </u>
ISO 10540-2:2003																																<u> </u>
ISO 27085:2009																																Π
ISO 2962:2010																																
ISO 3946:1982																																_
AACC 40-56.01																																<u> </u>
AACC 40-57.01																																<u> </u>
AACC 40-58.01																																<u> </u>
AACC 40-58.01																																

### JOAC (50) 937, 1975.

- William Hoover (TAMU) 50, 937, (1976)
- Technical Communication as Referee for minerals in feed
- □ Dry Ash
  - □Low recovery of P in mineral mix feeds
- Wet Ash
- May form refractory compounds when ashed at 550°C, insoluble in following acid digestion portion of method
- Not recognized in collaborative study, sample type not included
- Recommend added statement in all dry ash method "not appropriate for mineral mix feeds"
- □ Statement appears in AOAC 968.08 (D)(a)

#### Conclusions Thus Far

- Survey based on AAFCO CSP method codes
  - ☐ High level P most challenging
- □ JAOAC 59, 937 (1976)
  - ☐ Dry Ash methods not appropriate method for mineral mix feed
    - □Low recovery for Ca, Cu, Fe, Mn, P, Zn up to 50%
    - ■Mg least effected by ashing process---only up to 3%
- Matrix by Method and Scope
  - □ Updated
- Next Steps
  - ☐ Help with matrices for ISO methods
  - ☐ Circulate updated matrix by methods to WG
  - ☐ Write up white paper

### Updates

- ■White Paper
- Matrix vs phosphorus
- □ Documents reviewed in Denver August 2015
  - □No comments received
- ■Email sent & Documents on Foodshield
  - □No comments received

■Workgroup moves that consensus has been achieved, ready for LMSC to vote to accept

#### Conclusions

- ■Best Practice Workgroup (BPW) has successfully achieved mission
- ■BPW moves via consensus that LMSC vote to accept documentation or reject
- ■BPW moves to move forward with next task
  - **□**Moisture
  - □ Fiber
  - Other?