

**Report**  
**Feed Labeling Committee Meeting**

Friday, January 10th, 2014  
10:15 AM – 12:00 PM  
Hyatt Regency  
New Orleans, LA

The committee has no recommendations for the board or membership action.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS to MBRC:**

- 1. The Feed Labeling Committee recommends that the Equine Nutrition Panel Workgroup recommendation #1 (see Appendix A) be forwarded to the Model Bill & Regulations Committee (MBRC).**
- 2. The Feed Labeling Committee recommends that the Nutrients Guarantees Table Workgroup recommendation #1 (see Appendix B) be forwarded to the Model Bill & Regulations Committee (MBRC).**
- 3. The Feed Labeling Committee recommends that Johanna Phillip's recommendation #1 (see Appendix C) be forwarded to the Model Bill & Regulations Committee (MBRC).**

Committee Participants:

**Members present:** Ken Bowers (KS), Tim Darden (NM), Richard Ten Eyck (OR), Jan Jarman (MN), Scott Ziehr (CO), Mika Alewynse (FDA/CVM)

**Members on conference phone:** Miriam Johnson (NC), Johanna Phillips (ID)

**Advisors present:** Sue Hayes (WBFI), Jim Barritt (PFI), Kelvin Hawkins (PFI), Jan Campbell (NGFA), Angela Mills (AFIA), Sue Carlson (AFIA), Dave Dzanis (ACVN/APPA), James Emerson (USPA), Ellen Slaymaker (NGFA), Charles Starkey (USPA),

**Total: 8 members and 10 Advisors**

Committee Report:

**Committee Activities**

- 1. ACTION:** FLC accepts recommendation #1 (see Appendix A) made by Equine Nutrition Panel Workgroup.  
**MOTION:** Motion to accept recommendation #1 made by Richard Ten Eyck, seconded by Scott Ziehr. Motion carries by committee vote.
- 2. ACTION:** FLC accepts recommendation #1 (see Appendix B) made by Nutrients Guarantees Table Workgroup.  
**MOTION:** Motion to accept recommendation #1 made by Richard Ten Eyck, seconded by Miriam Johnson. Motion carries by committee vote.
- 3. ACTION:** FLC accepts recommendation #1 (see Appendix c) made by Johanna Phillips.  
**MOTION:** Motion to accept recommendation #1 made by Johanna Phillips, seconded by Richard Ten Eyck. Motion carries by committee vote.

Action Item Table:

Responsible	Item	Action	Timing / Status
Miriam Johnson	Action Item #1	Submit item to MBRC for review	Immediate/in process
Richard TenEyck	Action Item #2	Forward the language on to Model Bill Committee to be sent on to membership	Immediate/in process
Richard TenEyck	Action Item #3	Forward the language on to Model Bill Committee to be sent on to membership	Immediate/in process

**Minutes**  
**Feed Labeling Committee Meeting**  
Friday, January 10th, 2014  
10:15 AM – 12:00 PM  
Hyatt Regency  
New Orleans, LA

Committee Participants:

**Members present:** Ken Bowers (KS), Tim Darden (NM), Richard Ten Eyck (OR), Jan Jarman (MN), Scott Ziehr (CO), Mika Alewynse (FDA/CVM)

**Members on conference phone:** Miriam Johnson (NC), Johanna Phillips (ID)

**Advisors present:** Sue Hayes (WBF), Jim Barritt (PFI), Kelvin Hawkins (PFI), Jan Campbell (NGFA), Angela Mills (AFIA), Sue Carlson (AFIA), Dave Dzanis (ACVN/APPA), James Emerson (USPA), Ellen Slaymaker (NGFA), Charles Starkey (USPA),

**Total: 8 members and 10 Advisors**

Welcome & Opening Remarks

Tim Darden: New Mexico Department of Agriculture

Equine Nutrition Panel Update

Miriam Johnson: North Carolina Department of Agriculture

During the 2013 Annual Meeting held in St. Pete Beach, FL, Miriam Johnson was charged to return to the Equine Nutrition Panel Workgroup for a vote to determine if the requirement for Minimum Copper should have the language “if added” added to Regulation 3(a)(4)(V)(b)(6) and 3(a)(4)(V)(c)(5). She reported the results from the expert panel as follows:

- Multiple attempts to engage the entire panel were made.
- As a result 5 total members of the panel of 10 responded
- Of those responses 4 responded yay to adding the additional verbiage and one nay.
- As a result, and in the interest of time, “if added” was recommended to be added to the required Nutritional Indicator, Copper, for Equines as the majority of responding members were in favor of the additional language.

The following amendment was presented to the FLC for vote to send to the Model Bill Committee for incorporation into the model regulations. The voting results are listed below:

**Model Regulations for Guaranteed Analysis for Equine Complete Feeds, Supplements, and Mineral Feeds Regulation 3. (a) 4 (V) (page 123 of the 2014 OP)**

- b. Guaranteed Analysis for Equine Complete Feeds and Supplements (all animal classes)
  - 6) Minimum Copper in parts per million (ppm) **(if added)**
- c. Guaranteed Analysis for Equine Mineral Feeds (all animal classes)
  - 5) Minimum Copper in parts per million (ppm) **(if added)**

MOTION: Motion to accept recommended verbiage presented and forward to the MBRC for update to the Official Publication was made by Richard Ten Eyck, seconded by Scott Ziehr. Motion carries by committee vote.

ACTION: FLC accepts recommendation #1 (see Appendix A) made by Equine Nutrition Panel Workgroup.

**Dairy Cattle Nutrition Panel**

Miriam Johnson: NC Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services

A request was made to form an expert nutritionist panel to review labeling of dairy formula feeds to determine which nutrients are important to dairy cattle. The NRC will be convening working groups to determine nutrient requirements for dairy cattle therefore at this time the establishment of the panel will be delayed to allow for the NRC to finish their evaluations. A request was made for members to brainstorm as to whom they would like to recommend for the dairy nutrition panel so that it may be formed once the NRC group has completed their work.

ACTION ITEM: Miriam Johnson will continue to monitor the progress of this workgroup.

**Swine Nutrition Panel**

Miriam Johnson: NC Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services

A request was made to form an expert nutritionist panel to review labeling of swine formula feeds to determine which nutrients are important to swine. The Expert Panel has been formed (see listing below) and the first conference call has taken place. At this time we are awaiting the initial responses and recommendations from the group. The second conference call will be tentative for the middle to end of February 2014.

**University Representatives &**

**Affiliation:**

Eric van Heugten

NC State University

Dale Rozeboom

Michigan State University

Thomas Crenshaw

University of Wisconsin



FINAL

Merlin Lindemann  
Brian Kerr

University of KY  
USDA/ARS (Iowa State)

Industry Representatives &  
Affiliation:

Gawain Willis  
Randy Walker  
Ernest Keith  
Chad Risley  
Jeffery Escobar

Purina/Land O Lakes  
DPI Global  
Lallemand Nutrition  
Berg+Schmidt America LLC  
Novus International, Inc.

ACTION ITEM: Miriam Johnson will continue to monitor the progress of this workgroup.

**Selenium Labeling Working Group Update**

Richard Ten Eyck: Oregon Department of Agriculture

Richard Ten Eyck reported he had spoken with Nate Bartz. Nate was in contact with the National Pork Producers and at this time no further recommendations have been made. This closes out this line of inquiry.

**Review of Nutrient Guarantees Table and Model Regulations Workgroup Report**

Richard Ten Eyck: Oregon Department of Agriculture

A request was made during the Annual Meeting in St. Pete Beach, FL to review inconsistencies between the Nutrient Guarantees by Species table in the Official Publication and the corresponding species-specific guarantees section of the Model Regulations.

The workgroup presented the following recommendations to the FLC to clarify the exemptions in XII and send them to Model Bill for concurrence and publication in the Official Publication:

- (4) Guarantees - Crude Protein, Equivalent Crude Protein from Non Protein Nitrogen, Amino Acids, Crude Fat, Crude Fiber, Acid Detergent Fiber, Calcium, Phosphorus, Salt and Sodium shall be the sequence of nutritional guarantees when such guarantee is stated. Other required and voluntary guarantees should follow in a general format such that the units of measure used to express guarantees (percentage, parts per million, International Units, etc.) are listed in a sequence that provides a consistent grouping of the units of measure. **Individual nutrient guarantees are not required if listed as exempt in section XII.**

MOTION: Motion to accept the Nutrient Guarantees Report from the workgroup made by Richard Ten Eyck and seconded by Miriam Johnson. Motion carries by committee vote.

MOTION: Motion that committee accepts the language in the first recommendation be forwarded to the MBRC and then to membership for inclusion in the Model Bill made by Richard Ten Eyck and seconded by Miriam Johnson. Motion carries by committee vote.

XI. The required guarantees of grain mixtures with or without molasses and feeds other than those described in regulation 3(a)(4) **(I thru X)** shall include the following items in the order listed:

MOTION: Motion that committee accepts the language in the second recommendation made by Richard Ten Eyck and seconded by Miriam Johnson. Motion carries by committee vote.

MOTION: Motion to forward the language on to MBRC to be sent on to membership for inclusion in the Model Bill made by Richard Ten Eyck and Seconded by Miriam Johnson. Motion carries by committee vote.

**Review of the Minimum Warning Statement Type Size Table**

Johanna Phillips: Idaho State Department of Agriculture

The Pet Food Committee is updating the table within Regulation PF2(i) (page 137 of the 2014 OP) and PF3(c) (page 138 of the 2014 OP) for Pet and Specialty Pet Food Model Regulations. A request is being made to update the table within the Model Regulations Regulation 7(d) (page 131 of the 2014 OP) for consistency by amending the table to include greater than, less than, and/or equal to symbols to prevent regulatory confusion in instances where the panel size could fit into two warning statement type size categories. Johanna Phillips stated that the table was modified to conform to the language in 21 CFR 501.105(i). Dr. Mika Alewynse stated that 21 CFR 501.105(i) pertained to requirements for the declaration of net quantity of contents, not raw milk warning statements. Dr. Dave Dzanis clarified that the less than/ greater than/ or equal to symbols in the table were modeled after the format in 21CFR 501.105(i), and the edits were simply intended to provide clarity to the table. Richard TenEyck requested an edit, to change 'sq. in.' to in<sup>2</sup>. Johanna Phillips indicated that there was no objection on her part to the requested change. Please reference the table below for proposed changes:

Panel Size	Minimum Warning Statement Type Size
<b>≤5 in<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>1/16"</b>
<b>&gt;5- ≤25 in<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>1/8"</b>
<b>&gt;25- ≤100 in<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>3/16"</b>
<b>&gt;100- ≤400 in<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>1/4"</b>
<b>&gt; 400 in<sup>2</sup> +</b>	<b>1/2"</b>

MOTION: Motion that committee accepts the changes to the table within Model Regulation 7(d) with edits was made by Johanna Phillips and seconded by Richard Ten Eyck. Motion carries by committee vote.

MOTION: Motion to accept recommended verbiage presented and forward to the MBRC for update to the Official Publication was made by Richard Ten Eyck and seconded by Johanna Phillips. Motion carries by committee vote.

**Future Labeling Workshops**



FINAL

Tim Darden: New Mexico Department of Agriculture

There is great interest in hosting a medicated feed labeling workshop during the 2015 Mid-Year Meeting. However at this time the workshop will be held off until a chair and additional volunteers can be found to monitor the overall planning. A workshop will be tentative for 2016 with the intent on hosting additional workshop every 3-4 years.

**Additional Topics**

If the committee is aware of additional financial needs please bring them to the attention of Richard TenEyck.

At this time a Committee Chair needs to be identified.

Meeting Adjourned at 11:04 AM

**Appendix A**

**FLC Recommendation #1**

**Model Regulations for Guaranteed Analysis for Equine Complete Feeds, Supplements, and Mineral Feeds Regulation 3. (a) 4 (V) (page 123 of the 2014 OP)**

- d. Guaranteed Analysis for Equine Complete Feeds and Supplements (all animal classes)
  - 6) Minimum Copper in parts per million (ppm) **(if added)**
- e. Guaranteed Analysis for Equine Mineral Feeds (all animal classes)
  - 6) Minimum Copper in parts per million (ppm) **(if added)**

*The FLC Committee proposes the amendment as shown in the above language. Add "if added" to the end of d(6) & e(6). Recommend the finished language be passed to model bill for incorporation into the model regulations*

**Appendix B**

**FLC Recommendation #2**

The workgroup presented the following recommendations to the FLC to clarify the exemptions in XII and sends them to Model Bill for concurrence and publication in the OP:

- (4) Guarantees - Crude Protein, Equivalent Crude Protein from Non Protein Nitrogen, Amino Acids, Crude Fat, Crude Fiber, Acid Detergent Fiber, Calcium, Phosphorus, Salt and Sodium shall be the sequence of nutritional guarantees when such guarantee is stated. Other required and voluntary guarantees should follow in a general format such that the units of measure used to

express guarantees (percentage, parts per million, International Units, etc.) are listed in a sequence that provides a consistent grouping of the units of measure. **Individual nutrient guarantees are not required if listed as exempt in section XII.**

XI. The required guarantees of grain mixtures with or without molasses and feeds other than those described in regulation 3(a)(4) **(I thru X)** shall include the following items in the order listed:

*The FLC Committee recommends these amendments as shown in the above language. Insert a sentence at the end of regulation 3(4) on page 120 of the 2014 OP. Insert "(I to X)" on page 126 of the 2014 OP. Recommend the finished language be passed to model bill for incorporation into the model regulations*

### Appendix C

#### FLC Recommendation #3

Panel Size	Minimum Warning Statement Type Size
$\leq 5 \text{ in}^2$	1/16"
$>5 - \leq 25 \text{ in}^2$	1/8"
$>25 - \leq 100 \text{ in}^2$	3/16"
$>100 - \leq 400 \text{ in}^2$	1/4"
$> 400 \text{ in}^2 +$	1/2"

*The FLC Committee proposes the following amendment to the above language. Recommend the finished language be passed to model bill for incorporation into the model regulations*

*Minutes and Report approved by membership by majority vote on*