AAFCO AV Limits in Consideration of Current AAFCO PT Results

Karen Fischer

Office of the Texas State Chemist

Background

- Analytical Variations (AV): Measure of acceptable variation from the guarantee based on laboratory variance and the inherent variability of a particular analyte
- No publications have been found describing how analytical variations were first calculated
- The original data has also not been located

Ingredient	Method*	AV%	Range
PROXIMATE ANALYS	15		
Moisture	934.01930.15 935.29	12	3 - 40%
Protein	954,01976,05976,06 984,13	(20/X + 2)	10 - 85%
Lysine	975.44	20	0.5-4%
Fat	920.39954.02 932.02	10	3 - 20%
Fiber	962.09	(30/X + 6)	2 - 30%
Ash	942.05	(45/X + 3)	2 - 88%
Pepsin Digest	971.09	13	
Total Sugar as Invert	925.05	12	24 - 37%
NPN Protein	941.04967.07	(80/X + 3)	7 - 60%
MINERALS			
Calcium	927.02	(14/X + 6)	5 - 25%
4	968.08	10	10 . 25%
a	100.00	12	< 10%
Bosphonis	964.06965.17	(3/3 + 3)	5.20%
Salt	969-10	(703 + 5)	5 . 14%
a	943.01	(1578 ± 9)	5 . 14%
Fluerine	975.08	40	IN THE REAL
Cobalt	968.08	25	01 - 16%
Lodina .	934 03935 14 935 56	40	
Gener	968.08	25	03 - 1%
a a	A Charlow and	30	< 03%
Moonesian	968.08	20	01 - 15%
live	968.08	25	01 - 5%
Manganese	968.08	30	01 - 17%
Potossium	975 03925 01	15	04 - 8%
Zine.	968.08	20	002 - 6%
Selenium	969.06	25	NOR CONT
Sodium	10	20	2.4%
	KP	15	.2 - 4%
VITAMINS			
na sentre a Ultrada t	071.00	20	1000 018 000
y naminA	774,27	30	1200 - 218,000 IITAIN
Vitamin B.,	982,20	45	10/10
Riboflavin	970.65940.33	30	1 - 1500 mg/lb
Niacin	961.14944.13	25	3 - 500 mg/lb
Pantothenic A cid	945 74	25	4 • 190 mg/lb

* Method References are from 17th Edition, AOAC Official Methods of Analysis.

Methods

- Data from AAFCO check sample datasets
 - From 2014-2017
- 53 total datasets with each dataset containing many different analytes
- Individual observations that had a z-score above 3 or were flagged for another error were deleted from the dataset
- Mean, standard deviation, and 2 x Coefficient of variation were calculated for each analyte within each dataset
- 2 x Coefficient of variation was compared to the AV levels in the AAFCO official publication
- Some of the concentrations fell outside the guarantee range established in the official publication

	AAFCO D	ataset 1											
Lab	Analyte	Concentration											
1	Protein	32											
2	Protein	34	\mathbf{X}										
3	Protein	25									Protein	Dataset	
1	Calcium	2								Data	Mean	SD :	2*CV
2	Calcium	2.4								Dataset 1	30.33333	4.725816	0.311592
1	Fiber	4								Dataset 2	14.33333	0.57735	0.080561
2	Fiber	4.1							X	Dataset i	22	1	0.090909
					Re	esults Datas	set						
	AAFCO D	ataset 2		Data	Analyte	Mean	SD	2*CV					
Lab	Analyte	Concentration		Dataset 1	Protein	30.33333	4.725816	0.311592			Calcium	Dataset	
1	Protein	14		Dataset 1	Calcium	2.2	0.282843	0.25713		Data	Mean	SD	2*CV
2	Protein	14		Dataset 1	Fiber	4.05	0.070711	0.034919		Dataset 1	2.2	0.282843	0.25713
3	Protein	15		Dataset 2	Protein	14.33333	0.57735	0.080561		Dataset 2	10.5	0.707107	0.134687
1	Calcium	11		Dataset 2	Calcium	10.5	0.707107	0.134687		Dataset i	6.5	2.12132	0.652714
2	Calcium	10		Dataset 2	Fiber	18	2.828427	0.31427	\backslash				
1	Fiber	16		Dataset i	Protein	22	1	0.090909					
2	Fiber	20		Dataset i	Calcium	6.5	2.12132	0.652714					
	•		1	Dataset i	Fiber	14.5	3.535534	0.48766					
	•										Fiber L	ataset	04-017
	•									Data	Mean	SD SD	2*CV
	AAFCO D	ataset i								Dataset 1	4.05	0.070711	0.034919
Lab	Analyte	Concentration								Dataset 2	18	2.828427	0.31427
1	Protein	21								Dataset i	14.5	3.535534	0.48766

Mar OO Dataset I					
Lab	Analyte	Concentration			
1	Protein	21			
2	Protein	22			
3	Protein	23			
1	Calcium	5			
2	Calcium	8			
1	Fiber	12			
2	Fiber	17			

Analyte	Meet Current	Out of	Higher than		
	AV	Concentration	Current AV		
		Range			
Ash	45/52 = 86.5%	2/52 = 3.8%	5/52 = 9.6%		
Fat	25/104 = 24.0%	18/104 = 17.3%	61/104 = 58.7%		
Fiber	3/152 = 2.0%	28/152 = 18.4%	121/152 = 79.6%		
L-Lysine	46/51 = 90.2%	4/51 = 7.8%	1/51 = 2.0%		
Moisture	10/52 = 19.2%	1/52 = 1.9%	41/52 = 78.8%		
NPN protein	0/5 = 0%	2/5 = 40%	3/5 = 60%		
Protein	18/52 = 34.6%	6/52 = 11.5%	28/52 = 53.8%		
Total sugar	1/47 = 2.1%	43/47 = 91.5%	3/47 = 6.4%		
Calcium	37/52 = 71.2%	5/52 = 9.6%	10/52 = 19.2%		
Cobalt	4/50 = 8.0%	0/50 = 0%	46/50 = 92.0%		
Copper	34/53 = 64.2%	0/53 = 0%	19/53 = 35.8%		
Fluorine	1/2 = 50.0%	0/2 = 0%	1/2 = 50.0%		
Iodine	1/5 = 20%	0/5 = 0%	4/5 = 80.0%		
Iron	40/52 = 76.9%	0/52 = 0%	12/52 = 23.1%		
Magnesium	52/52 = 100%	0/52 = 0%	0/52 = 0%		
Manganese	50/52 = 96.2%	0/52 = 0%	2/52 = 3.8%		
Phosphorus	40/52 = 76.9%	6/52 = 11.5%	6/52 = 11.5%		
Potassium	47/52 = 90.4%	0/52 = 0%	5/52 = 9.6%		
Salt	36/52 = 69.2%	16/52= 30.8%	0/52 = 0%		
Selenium	8/53 = 15.1%	0/53 = 0%	45/53 = 84.9%		
Sodium	36/52 = 69.2%	16/52 = 30.8%	0/52 = 0%		
Zinc	45/52 = 86.5%	0/52 = 0%	7/52 = 13.5%		
Niacin	4/12 = 33.3%	0/12 = 0%	8/12 = 66.7%		
Pantothenic acid	2/12 = 16.7%	0/12 = 0%	10/12 = 83.3%		
Riboflavin	14/39 = 35.9%	0/39 = 0%	25/39 = 64.1%		
Vitamin A	1/43 = 2.3%	0/43 = 0%	42/43 = 97.7%		
Vitamin B ₁₂	1/6 = 16.7%	0/6 = 0%	5/6 = 83.3%		

Results

- Fat, fiber, moisture, protein, selenium, riboflavin, and vitamin A were the major analytes who had a large percentage of higher AV.
- A few of the analytes are harder to distinguish due to their small sample size.

Further Actions

- Created possible changes to the AV using tolerance intervals
 - Tolerance intervals are similar to confidence intervals, but look at the distribution instead of a single parameter like the mean.
 - The tolerance interval used for this was a 95%, 90% interval
- Some of the analytes have AV that are dependent on concentration.
 - Spearman's correlation coefficient was used to determine which ones are dependent.

Spearman's Correlation Coefficients

Analyte	Spearman's Correlation
Ash	331
Cobalt	586
Moisture	658
Phosphorus	189
Calcium	347
Copper	842
Fat	726
Fiber	598
Iron	.272
Lysine	301
Magnesium	168
Manganese	248
Potassium	434
Protein	745
Selenium	359
Zinc	376

Example - Fat



Fat

Example - Fat

- Check Spearman's correlation coefficient
 - -.726
- Concentration dependent so a linear regression model was fit
- Q-Q plot was used to check for the normality assumption
- The 95% tolerance interval was found using the regression line
 - $AV = 55.6e^{-.054 * x}$

Example - Fat



Computed AV

Analyte	New AV (%)	Current AV (%)
Ash	$9.9e^{005*x}$	(45/x + 3)
Moisture	$56.9e^{079*x}$	12
Cobalt	$86.1e^{008 * x}$	25
Phosphorus	13	(3/x + 8)
Calcium	$13.6e^{0006*x}$	(14/x + 6)
Fat	$55.6e^{054*x}$	10
Fiber	$50.9e^{02*x}$	(30/x + 6)
Iron	33	25
Lysine	16	20
Magnesium	15	20
Manganese	21	30
Potassium	$18.5e^{08*x}$	15
Protein	$4.6e^{006*x}$	(20/x + 2)
Selenium	$61.9e^{002*x}$	25
Zinc	$26.5e^{00002*x}$	20